IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

F'REAL FOODS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

HAMILTON BEACH BRANDS, INC. and HERSHEY CREAMERY COMPANY,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 16-41-CFC CONSOLIDATED

CONFIDENTIAL

This CORRECTED version corrects an inadvertent error made in response to Plaintiffs' SOF #18; the error was evident from D.I. 195 at pg. 10

CORRECTED DEFENDANTS' CONCISE COUNTERSTATEMENT OF FACTS IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' CONCISE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS [D.I. 171]

Francis DiGiovanni (#3189)
Thatcher A. Rahmeier (#5222)
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1410
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 467-4200
francis.digiovanni@dbr.com
thatcher.rahmeier@dbr.com

Of Counsel:

William S. Foster, Jr.
Kenneth M. Vorrasi
Brianna L. Silverstein
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
1500 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-1209
(202) 842-8800
william.foster@dbr.com
kenneth.vorrasi@dbr.com
brianna.silverstein@dbr.com

Dated: April 7, 2019

TABLE OF EXHIBITS¹

Exhibit No.	Title and Description	
1.	Excerpts from the December 13, 2017 deposition of Brian Williams	
2.	Excerpts from the November 2, 2018 deposition of Alexander Slocum	
3.	Excerpts from the April 13, 2018 deposition of James Farrell	
4.	Excerpts from the April 12, 2018 deposition of Andrew Geppert	
5.	Excerpts from the February 14, 2018 deposition of Thomas Kramer	
6.	Excerpts from the June 13, 2018 deposition of Jens Voges	
7.	Excerpts from the October 17, 2018 deposition of Daniel Maynes	
8.	Excerpts from the July 10, 2018 deposition of Benjamin Branson	
9.	Excerpts from the March 22, 2018 deposition of Brian O'Flynn	
10.	Excerpts from the March 23, 2018 deposition of Ernest Pryor	
11.	Document bearing Bates No. KABLOOE_000333, produced during	
	discovery in the above-captioned litigation	
12.	Collection of documents bearing Bates No. KABLOOE_000004,	
	000119, 000120, 000146, 000158, 000172, 000178, 000180, 000183,	
	000191, 000195, 000199, 000206, 000214, 000222, 000230, 000237,	
	000245, 000253, 000262, 000271, 000279, 000292, 000298, 000304,	
	000307, 000314, 000322, 000326, 000329, 000332, and	
	KABREV001_0000002 at 45, produced during discovery in the	
	above-captioned litigation	
13.	Document bearing Bates No. FREAL_186801-806, produced during	
	discovery in the above-captioned litigation	
14.	Document bearing Bates No. FREAL_235591-618, produced during	
	discovery in the above-captioned litigation	
15.	Document bearing Bates No. KABLOOE_000009, produced during	
	discovery in the above-captioned litigation	
16.	Document bearing Bates No. F'REAL_000218-19, produced during	
	discovery in the above-captioned litigation	
17.	Document bearing Bates No. HBBF0000124-28, produced during	
	discovery in the above-captioned litigation	
18.	Document bearing Bates No. FREAL_186799-800, produced during	
	discovery in the above-captioned litigation	

¹ The Exhibits were submitted as part of the Declaration of Francis DiGiovanni, filed contemporaneously with D.I. 202.

Exhibit No.	Title and Description		
19.	Test Report of MIC2000 by Professor Alexander Slocum, Exhibit 2 to		
	the Rebuttal Expert Report of Alexander H. Slocum, Ph.D., served on		
	September 24, 2018		
20.	U.S. Patent No. 8,807,823, produced in this case as Bates Nos.		
	HBBF0036784-808		
21.	Exhibit 9 to Defendant Hamilton Beach Brands, Inc.'s Final Patent		
	Invalidity Contentions, served on February 12, 2018		
22.	Video bearing Bates No. HBBF0172868, produced in native form		
	during discovery in the above-captioned litigation		

Pursuant to Section 12(c) of the Scheduling Order, Hamilton Beach Brands, Inc. ("Hamilton Beach") and Hershey Creamery Company ("Hershey) (collectively, "Defendants") submit the following Defendants' Concise Counterstatement of Facts in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Concise Statement of Undisputed Facts [D.I. 171]:

Plaintiffs' Facts Relevant to Infringement of Claim 21 of the '662 Patent

	Response	Evidentiary Support
1.	Admitted.	
2.	Disputed. No Hamilton	D.I. 171-2 Ex. J
	Beach engineers testified	D.I. 171-2 Ex. F 101:23-102:5
	that any Accused Product	D.I. 171-2 Ex. G 91:16-92:7
	performed each and every	D.I. 171-2 Ex. H 158:8-16
	step of claim 21 of the '662	D.I. 171-2 Ex. I 173:1-5
	patent.	
3.	Admitted. In the	D.I. 171-2 Ex. N ¶ 84
	demonstrations by Hamilton	
	Beach Engineers, a cup with	
	material may be provided.	
	But when the MIC2000 is	
	used by consumers, it is the	
	consumers who provide the	
	vessel.	
4.	Admitted.	
5.	Disputed. This is not a	
	method step.	
6.	Disputed. This is not a	
	method step.	
7.	Disputed. This is not a	
	method step.	

	Response	Evidentiary Support
8.	Partially Disputed. Defendants admit that the Hamilton Beach Engineers testified that the lid is lifted from the vessel opening after mixing/blending. However, none of the Hamilton Beach engineers testified that the Accused Products performed the steps of "directing rinsing fluid onto the splash	Evidentiary Support D.I. 171-2 Ex. J D.I. 171-2 Ex. F 101:23-102:5 D.I. 171-2 Ex. G 91:16-92:7 D.I. 171-2 Ex. H 158:8-16 D.I. 171-2 Ex. I 173:1-5
	shield while isolating the vessel from the rinsing fluid."	
9.	Admitted.	
10.	Disputed . The lid of the MIC2000 cannot be rinsed while the cup is being removed from the device.	D.I. 171-2 Ex. J D.I. 171-2 Ex. F 101:23-102:5 D.I. 171-2 Ex. G 91:16-92:7 D.I. 171-2 Ex. H 158:8-16 D.I. 171-2 Ex. I 173:1-5 D.I. 171-2 Ex. M 179:11-15
11.	Partially Disputed. It is unclear that the video shows a "demonstration," but Hershey did publish a video showing the use of the MIC2000 in a particular blending operation. There is no "standard" operation of the MIC2000 as it can be programmed to mix and rinse in a variety of ways depending on the desired performance characteristics.	D.I. 171-2 Ex. L at 5

	Response	Evidentiary Support
12.	Disputed. Dr. Maynes	D.I. 171-2 Ex. N ¶ 84
	opined that in all of the	
	Accused Products, the cup is	
	first removed from the	
	machine and only after the	
	cup is removed do Accused	
	Products direct rinsing fluid	
	onto the splash shield.	
13.	Partially Disputed. Dr.	D.I. 171-2 Ex. O 144:18-145:16
	Slocum testified all of the	D.I. 171-2 Ex. L at 3, 5
	Accused Products perform a	Ex. 1 63:9-15, 215:12-21, 216:1-13
	method of rinsing a splash	
	shield. Dr. Slocum did not	
	testify that the Accused	
	Products use the "same	
	approach" to mixing and	
	rinsing. The Accused	
	Products can be programmed	
	to achieve different rinsing,	
	blending, and/or mixing	
	characteristics. The Accused	
	Products may also include	
	different types of mixing	
	elements.	
14.	Admitted.	
15.	Admitted.	
16.	Admitted.	
17.	Admitted.	
18.	Partially Disputed. Dr.	D.I. 202 Ex. 7 153:4-16; 153:17-
	Slocum only testified that a	154:20; 162:13-17
	user removes the cup after	D.I. 171-2 Ex. P ¶¶ 62-64 (also
	blending. Dr. Slocum did	filed at D.I. 179, Ex. 1(B) ¶¶ 62-
	not testify that all steps of	64).
	Claim 21 are performed	
	during normal operation by a	
	user of the MIC2000.	

Plaintiffs' Facts Relevant to Infringement of Claim 15 of the '150 Patent

	Response	Evidentiary Support
19.	Partially Disputed.	D.I. 171-2 Ex. AA
	Hershey did not offer for	D.I. 176 Ex. 1 46-48
	sale or sell the MIC2000 in	
	the United States.	
20.	Admitted.	
21.	Admitted.	
22.	Disputed. Brian O'Flynn	D.I. 171-2 Ex. Q
	only testified the MIC2000	D.I. 171-2 Ex. I 173:6-20
	and BIC2000 included a	
	door and no Hamilton	
	Beach engineers testified	
	that the Accused Products	
	included a moveable door	
	that covered the entrance to	
	a rinse chamber.	
23.	Admitted.	
24.	Disputed. Some Hamilton	D.I. 171-2 Ex. Q
	Beach engineers only	D.I. 171-2 Ex. F
	testified that the Accused	D.I. 171-2 Ex. G 91:10-18
	Products included nozzles	D.I. 171-2 Ex. H 158:1-16
	pointed or oriented toward	D.I. 171-2 Ex. I 170:19-171:8
	the splash shield but no	
	Hamilton Beach engineer	
	testified that the nozzles	
	were "oriented to direct	
	rinse fluid onto the splash	
	shield within the rinse	
25	chamber."	Ev. 2 117.11 10 120.15 17
25.	Partially Disputed. Dr.	Ex. 2 117:11-19, 120:15-17
	Slocum did not testify about	
	the BIC3000-DQ. Dr.	
	Slocum also only testified that "I do know that the	
	nozzles that provide the	
	water are directed to go and clean the inside of the	
	splash shield."	

<u>Plaintiffs' Facts Relevant to Defendants' Incorrect Inventorship Defenses</u>

26. Disputed. During depositions and through documents produced by Plaintiffs, Defendants have identified sufficient evidence to support their claim that Jim Farrell is not the sole inventor of the '150 patent in the sole depositions and through 19 Ex. 4 49:12-22, 84:6-85:1, 106:107:8, 114:22-115:6, 123:8-125:15, 129:21-130:6, 155:5-156:3, 156:12-157:23, 164:3-1456:3, 156:12-157:256:3, 156:1	12-
documents produced by Plaintiffs, Defendants have identified sufficient evidence to support their claim that Jim Farrell is not the sole Ex. 4 49:12-22, 84:6-85:1, 106:107:8, 114:22-115:6, 123:8-125:15, 129:21-130:6, 155:5-156:3, 156:12-157:23, 164:3-1425:157:157:157:157:157:157:157:157:157:15	
Plaintiffs, Defendants have identified sufficient evidence to support their claim that Jim Farrell is not the sole 107:8, 114:22-115:6, 123:8-125:15, 129:21-130:6, 155:5-156:3, 156:12-157:23, 164:3-1425:15 (213:1-214:13, 214:20-215:25)	
identified sufficient evidence to support their claim that Jim Farrell is not the sole 125:15, 129:21-130:6, 155:5-156:3, 156:12-157:23, 164:3-1420-215:25	·•,
to support their claim that Jim Farrell is not the sole 156:3, 156:12-157:23, 164:3-14 213:1-214:13, 214:20-215:25	.,
Jim Farrell is not the sole 213:1-214:13, 214:20-215:25	.,
inventor of the '150 patent Ex. 11	
family. Ex. 12	
Ex. 13 at 3, 6	
27. Partially Disputed. Ex. 4 84:6-85:1, 106:12-107:8,	
Andrew Geppert testified 114:22-115:6, 123:8-125:15,	
that he helped develop 129:21-130:6, 155:5-156:3,	
multiple aspects of the 156:12-157:23	
FRLB2 that are claimed in	
the '150 patent family.	
Geppert's declaration that	
was created for this litigation	
speaks for itself.	
28. Partially Disputed. Ex. 5 204:15-24	
Thomas Kramer testified that	
he was not privy to most of	
the conversations between	
Geppert and Farrell	
regarding the FRLB2	
project. Kramer's	
declaration that was created	
for this litigation speaks for itself.	
29. Admitted.	
30. Partially Disputed. Farrell Ex. 3 193:7-19	
admitted that he had not	
conceived of every part of	
the inventions that became	
the '150 patent before he	
approached Kablooe in April	
2002.	

	Response	Evidentiary Support
31.	Denied. Dr. Slocum	D.I. 171-2 Ex. T ¶ 93
	acknowledges that Farrell	
	suggested that he approached	
	Kablooe with a fully formed	
	invention in April 2002, but	
	also noted that the	
	provisional application filed	
	in November 2002 is	
	missing support for a	
	number of the claims of the	
	'150 patent family.	

<u>Plaintiffs' Facts Relevant to Defendants' Prior Public Use Defenses</u>

	Response	Evidentiary Support
32.	Admitted.	
33.	Admitted.	
34.	Admitted.	
35.	Admitted.	
36.	Admitted.	
37.	Disputed. The vessel in the	D.I. 171-2 Ex. Y
	video remains within the	
	holder.	
38.	Partially Disputed. During	D.I. 171-2 Ex. D ¶ 20
	depositions and through	Ex. 6 101:17-102:14
	documents produced by	Ex. 3 108:24-110:14, 151:8-13,
	Plaintiffs, Defendants have	161:13-16, 163:15-18
	identified sufficient evidence	Ex. 14 at 16
	to support their claim that	Ex. 15
	Farrell offered for sale the	
	FRLB2 prior to November	
	2002.	

<u>Material Facts as to Which Defendants Contend There Exists a Genuine Issue to Be Litigated</u>

	Fact	Evidentiary Support
1.	Geppert helped conceive of	Ex. 4 84:6-85:1, 106:12-107:8,
	at least one limitation in each	114:22-115:6, 123:8-125:15,
	of the claims of the '658	129:21-130:6, 155:5-156:3,
	patent, each of the claims of	156:12-157:23, 214:20-215:25
	the '150 patent, and each of	Ex. 3 178:9-179:1, 193:7-19
	the claims of the '662 patent.	Ex. 13 at 3, 6
2.	The FRLB2 practices at least	D.I. 171-2 Ex. N ¶ 126
	the independent claims of	
	the '150 patent family that	
	are asserted in this case.	
3.	f'real offered for sale its	D.I. 171-2 Ex. D ¶ 20
	FRLB2 self-rinsing blender	Ex. 6 101:17-102:14
	to QuikTrip before	Ex. 3 108:24-110:14, 161:3-16,
	November 2002.	163:15-18
		Ex. 14 at 16
		Ex. 15
4.	The Accused Products do	D.I. 171-2 Ex. P ¶ 64
	not perform the method steps	Ex. 2 153:4-16, 161:19-162:12,
	of "directing rinsing fluid	196:11-198:18
	onto the splash shield using	D.I. 171-2 Ex. T ¶¶ 56-58
	the nozzle while isolating the	Ex. 7 91:11-25
	vessel from the rinsing fluid"	D.I. 171-2 Ex. J
	as required by the '662	D.I. 171-2 Ex. F 101:23-102:5
	patent.	D.I. 171-2 Ex. G 91:16-92:7
		D.I. 171-2 Ex. H. 158:8-16
		D.I. 171-2 Ex. I 173:1-5
		Ex. 16

	Fact	Evidentiary Support
5.	The Accused Products do	D.I. 171-2 Ex. P ¶¶ 85, 86, 87, 88
	not include a "rinse chamber	Ex. 19 at 22
	having an entrance and a	Ex. 22
	door moveable to a closed	Ex. 7 184:1-6, 209:13-210:8
	position covering the	Ex. 1 28:15-19
	entrance" as required by the	Ex. 2 197:15-199:8, 202:1-203:15
	'150 patent.	D.I. 171-2 Ex. Q
		D.I. 171-2 Ex. I 173:6-20
		D.I. 171-2 Ex. N ¶¶ 58, 84, 89, 91
		Ex. 8 57:11-21, 59:4-61:15, 72:5-
		25
		Ex. 20 col. 9:20-46, Fig. 9
		Ex. 17
6.	The Accused Products do	D.I. 171-2 Ex. P ¶ 90
	not include a "nozzle	D.I. 171-2 Ex. Q
	coupled to a source of rinse	D.I. 171-2 Ex. F 61:17-22
	fluid and oriented to direct	D.I. 171-2 Ex. G 91:10-18
	rinse fluid onto the splash	D.I. 171-2 Ex. H 158:1-16
	shield within the rinse	D.I. 171-2 Ex. I 170:19-171:8
	chamber" as required by the	
	'150 patent.	
7.	Claim 21 of the '662 patent	See Defs.' Opp. to Plfs.'s Mot. in
	is invalid in view of prior art.	Limine

Dated: April 7, 2019

/s/ Francis DiGiovanni

Francis DiGiovanni (#3189)
Thatcher A. Rahmeier (#5222)
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1410
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 467-4200
francis.digiovanni@dbr.com
thatcher.rahmeier@dbr.com

OF COUNSEL:

William S. Foster, Jr.
Kenneth M. Vorrasi
Brianna L. Silverstein
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
1500 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-1209
(202) 842-8800
william.foster@dbr.com
kenneth.vorrasi@dbr.com
brianna.silverstein@dbr.com

Counsel for Defendants

<u>CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH WORD LIMITATION</u>

The undersigned hereby certifies that this filing complies with the type-

volume limitation of the Court's Supplemental and Amended Scheduling Order (D.I.

168). The brief contains 1,459 words, excluding the Cover Page, Table of Exhibits,

Signature Block, Certification of Compliance with Word Limitation, and Certificate

of Service. The brief has been prepared in 14-point Times New Roman or similar

typeface. As permitted by the Court's Supplemental and Amended Scheduling

Order, the undersigned has relied upon the word count feature of the word processing

system used to prepare the document.

Dated: April 7, 2019

/s/ Francis DiGiovanni

Francis DiGiovanni (#3189)

Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP

222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1410

Wilmington, DE 19801

(302) 467-4200

francis. digiovanni@dbr.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on April 7, 2019, I caused the foregoing to be electronically filed

with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF prior to 6:00 p.m. ET, which will send

notification of such filing to all registered participants. In addition, the foregoing

will be served upon counsel of record via electronic mail.

Dated: April 7, 2019

/s/ Francis DiGiovanni

Francis DiGiovanni (#3189) Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP

222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1410

Wilmington, DE 19801

(302) 467-4200

francis.digiovanni@dbr.com